

CHARLES DOUGLAS RABY	§	
VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 9:13-CV-120
PAUL TOLLY, <i>et al.</i> ,	§	

At the hearing, TDCJ witnesses testified that they were not aware of a computer maintenance/activity log as requested by plaintiff and cannot produce what they do not have. Based on the foregoing and after careful consideration, the Court will deny plaintiff's motion to compel, after reconsideration. Plaintiff's additional motions to compel (docket entry nos. 122-124) will similarly be denied. It is, therefore,

ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Compel (docket entry no. 88) after reconsideration is **DENIED**. It is further **ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motions to Compel (docket entry nos. 122-124) filed May 1, 2018 are similarly **DENIED**.

SIGNED this 18th day of June, 2018.



Zack Hawthorn
United States Magistrate Judge